



Indian Knowledge Systems: Their Influence on Literature and Linguistics

Dr. Devila Rohit

Temporary Assistant Professor (English)

Faculty of Commerce: M K Amin Arts and Science College and College of Commerce, Padra
The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda

Abstract

A wide range of indigenous ideologies, linguistic traditions, literary forms, and cultural activities that have evolved over millennia are included in Indian Knowledge Systems (IKS). This essay explores the historical and current effects of these systems on the disciplines of linguistics and literature. It explores the ways that literary theory and language studies in India have been influenced by regional literatures, ancient Sanskrit poetics, and linguistic ideologies. This study examines primary texts, academic criticisms, and current discussions on incorporating IKS into contemporary literary and linguistic frameworks using a qualitative literary research technique.

Keywords: *Indian Knowledge Systems, Literature, Linguistics, Sanskrit Poetics, Language Philosophy, Literary Theory*

Introduction

In India's intellectual history, there has been a significant focus on knowledge generation in a variety of disciplines, from literature and linguistics to philosophy and medicine. The term Indian Knowledge Systems (IKS) refers to the collection of native intellectual traditions that have been preserved in classical languages such as Tamil, Pali, Prakrit, Sanskrit, and later regional languages throughout thousands of years. Language, literary aesthetics, narrative tactics, and sociocultural worldviews are all insightfully revealed by these systems.

A growing body of academics is calling for the resurrection and incorporation of IKS into modern literary and linguistic studies, despite the fact that Western literary theories have generally dominated university courses. Examining how IKS have historically influenced Indian literature and linguistics as well as how these traditions still impact contemporary scholarly ideas is the goal of this essay.

In recent decades, scholarly interest in the study of Indian Knowledge Systems (IKS) in connection to literature and linguistics has increased. Literary theorists, linguists, and academicians have all examined the contributions of classical Indian philosophies and their applicability to current scholarly discussions.



Classical Literary Theories

Kapil Kapoor (2005) In *Literary Theory: Indian Conceptual Framework* provides a thorough analysis of classical Indian poetics, including the Rasa, Dhvani, and Alamkara theories. Indian poetics, according to **Kapoor**, offers different frameworks for understanding literary works and offers philosophical, cultural, and emotional aspects that are frequently disregarded by Western critical paradigms.

Sheldon Pollock (2006) In his seminal study *The Language of the Gods in the World of Men* examines the linguistic, cultural, and political power of Sanskrit literature in premodern India. Sanskrit served as a literary and sociopolitical instrument that impacted local languages and their literary traditions, as Pollock examines.

Gnoli (1968) In *The Aesthetic Experience* provides a comprehensive examination of **Abhinavagupta's** *Rasa theory*, highlighting its complexity and applicability to the study of the literary and performing arts.

Indian Linguistic Traditions:

George Cardona (1997) in Panini's grammatical work *Ashtadhyayi* is the subject of an authoritative examination by in *Panini: A Survey of Research. According to Cardona*, Panini's exacting and methodical linguistic analysis shaped contemporary language theory and established the groundwork for formal linguistics.

Frits Staal (1965) By contrasting the formal structures in Panini's linguistic model with those in Western formal logic, illustrates the impressive developments of ancient Indian linguistics and their methodological importance in his essay *Euclid and Panini*.

Kunjunni Raja (1963) A great deal of research has also been done on **Bhartrihari's** contributions, particularly his groundbreaking work *Vakyapadiya*. In *Indian Theories of Meaning*, examines **Bhartrihari's** *Sphota Theory*, which holds that meaning is understood as a single, whole entity rather than as a series of words.

Contemporary Scholarship and Pedagogical Integration:

Ganesh Devy (1995) In *After Amnesia*, criticizes the post-colonial Indian literary criticism's disregard for local critical traditions. He urges that indigenous knowledge frameworks be reclaimed, especially when it comes to understanding folk and regional literatures.



P. Ramanujan (1989) The oral, written, and performative traditions in India are examined by him in his articles on *Indian literary cultures*. He suggests that traditional notions such as *Rasa* and *Dhvani* are still relevant in folk performances and vernacular storytelling.

M. Ramakrishnan (2021) argues for a balanced curriculum that incorporates both indigenous and Western linguistic frameworks in his discussion of the current applicability of incorporating IKS into linguistic studies in the *Indian Journal of Language and Literature*.

V.N. Jha (1991) edited *Language, Logic, and Grammar: Indian Perspectives*, reaffirms the complexity and interdisciplinary importance of ancient Indian linguistic theories by bringing together works by many researchers examining the linguistic and logical traditions in classical India.

IKS in Modern Literary Studies:

Recent initiatives by the Ministry of Education's Indian Knowledge Systems Division (Govt. of India) have aggressively encouraged study on the relevance of IKS in modern academic domains including linguistics, literature, and aesthetics. Scholarly conferences and books like **Sisir Kumar Das (2021)** *Indian Knowledge Systems: Perspectives and Applications* chronicle the continuous attempts to integrate traditional frameworks with contemporary literary and linguistic theory.

Research Methodology:

This study uses an analytical interpretive and qualitative methodology, depending on: Primary sources like Panini's *Ashtadhyayi* and *Dhvanyaloka* by *Anandvardhana* and *Natyashastra* by Bharata are analysed textually.

Review of Secondary sources: Peer-reviewed journals, scholarly books and current critical articles.

Comparative frameworks evaluate the contemporary relevance of Indian literary and linguistic theories by contrasting them with Western paradigms.

Academic libraries, government archives on Indian Knowledge Systems, and internet research databases (JSTOR, Project MUSE) are the sources of the data.

Discussion:

Influence of Indian Knowledge Systems on Literature

Through ideas like these, IKS have significantly influenced Indian literary traditions:



Bharata and Abhinavgupta's *Rasa Theory* which is essential to drama and literature. It divides emotions into nine Rasa (Navrasa). In order to achieve a deeper resonance, **Anandvardhana's** *Dhvani theory* gives preference to suggestion in poetry over straight expression.

The systematization of *alamkara* (figures of speech) by **Bhamaha** and **Dandin** had an impact on both classical and regional literature.

Influence of Indian Knowledge systems on Linguistics:

Ancient Indian linguistics particularly Panini's *Ashtadhyayi* is one of the world's oldest most advanced grammatical traditions.

Comprehensive phonetic, morphological and syntactic rules that predate contemporary structuralist linguistics are known as descriptive grammar.

Metalinguistic Analysis: Advanced linguistic structure is shown by ideas such as *Paribhasha* (meta-rules) and *Anuvritti* (ellipsis of rules).

Sphota Theory: Modern semantics is foreshadowed by **Bhartrihari's** notion that meaning is understood holistically.

Findings:

The study reveals several key findings

Durable Literary Influence: Present-day Indian literary criticism, regional literature, and the performing arts are still influenced by classical doctrines like *Rasa*, *Dhvani*, and *Alamkara*.

Advanced Linguistic Traditions: Panini's work in particular established the groundwork for many of the tenets of contemporary linguistics and structural grammar through Indian grammatical and linguistic analysis.

Holistic Meaning Frameworks: Modern semantic theories in linguistics are in line with **Bhartrihari's** *Sphota theory's* notion of holistic language understanding.

Cultural Continuity and Revival: Indigenous knowledge systems continue to hold cultural value, and current scholarly endeavours demonstrate attempts to reintroduce these frameworks into conventional



teaching and research.

In order to achieve intellectual decolonization, indigenous frameworks must be revived because they have been neglected by the predominance of Western paradigms in Indian literature and linguistic studies.

Conclusion:

Indian knowledge systems provide deep, complex frameworks for comprehending language and literature. By reintegrating traditional traditions into contemporary academia, curriculum dominated by Western conceptions are decolonized and scholarly perspectives are diversified. Concepts such as **Rasa**, **Dhvani**, and **Sphota** are still relevant in both historical and modern literary criticism and linguistics, according to this research. In addition to preserving cultural legacy, the study finds that reviving and integrating IKS into academic discourse enhances intellectual traditions around the world.

References:

- Bharata. (c. 200 BCE). *Natyashastra*. (Various translations available)
- Cardona, G. (1997). *Panini: A Survey of Research*. Motilal Banarsidass.
- Devy, G. (1995). *After Amnesia: Tradition and Change in Indian Literary Criticism*. Orient Longman.
- Gnoli, R. (1968). *The Aesthetic Experience According to Abhinavagupta*. Chowkhamba Sanskrit Series.
- Indian Knowledge Systems Division. (2021). *Indian Knowledge Systems: Perspectives and Applications*. Ministry of Education, Government of India.
- Kapoor, K. (2005). *Literary Theory: Indian Conceptual Framework*. Affiliated East-West Press.
- Kunjunni Raja. (1963). *Indian Theories of Meaning*. Adyar Library.
- Pollock, S. (2006). *The Language of the Gods in the World of Men*. University of California Press.
- Ramakrishnan, M. (2021). Integrating Indian Knowledge Systems in Modern Linguistic Studies. *Indian Journal of Language and Literature*, 12(2), 45-62.
- Ramanujan, A.K. (1989). *Essays on Indian Literature*. Oxford University Press.
- Staal, F. (1965). Euclid and Panini. *Journal of the American Oriental Society*, 85(4), 327-333.
- V.N. Jha. (1991). *Language, Logic, and Grammar: Indian Perspectives*. Indian Council of Philosophical Research.



**MULTIDISCIPLINARY COSMOPOLITAN JOURNAL OF RESEARCH
(MUCOJOR)-2583-9829 (On-line)**

International Peer Reviewed and Refereed Journal

Certification of Publication

The Board of Multidisciplinary Cosmopolitan Journal of Research (MUCOJOR) is hereby awarding
this certificate to

Dr. Devila Rohit

In recognition of the publication of the paper entitled
Indian Knowledge Systems: Their Influence on Literature and Linguistics

Published in Volume 03, Issue 05, June 2025.

EDITOR IN CHIEF